arXiv:1606.06705v1 [math.FA] 21 Jun 2016

A NOTE ON WEIGHTED ITERATED HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES

RZA MUSTAFAYEV

Abstract. In this paper the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty\left(\int_t^\infty h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^\infty hv,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$$

is characterized. Here $0<q,r<\infty$ and u, v, w are weight functions on $(0,\infty)$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper by $\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ we denote the set of all non-negative measurable functions on $(0,\infty)$. A weight is a function $v\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that

$$0<\int_0^x v(t)\,dt<\infty\quad\text{for all}\quad x>0.$$

The family of all weight functions (also called just weights) on $(0,\infty)$ is given by $\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. In the following, assume that $u,v,w\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$.

Let $0<q,r<\infty$. The inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty\left(\int_t^\infty h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r}\le C\left(\int_0^\infty h^p v\right)^{1/p},\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)\tag{1.1}$$

was characterized in [4, Theorem 6.5] with $1<p<\infty$. The same paper contains solutions of inequalities

$$\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty\left(\int_t^\infty h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^\infty h(x)\left(\int_x^\infty v\right)^{-1}dx,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty),\tag{1.2}$$

(see [4, Theorem 6.6]), and

$$\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty\left(\int_t^\infty h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^\infty h(x)\left(\int_0^x v\right)dx,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty),\tag{1.3}$$

(see, for instance, [4, Corollary 3.24 and Theorem 5.2], as well. In the present paper the inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty\left(\int_x^\infty\left(\int_t^\infty h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^\infty hv,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)\tag{1.4}$$

is characterized.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. quasilinear operators, iterated Hardy inequalities, weights.

In the case when $q = 1$, using the Fubini Theorem, inequality (1.4) can be reduced to the weighted $L^1 - L^r$ boundedness problem of the Volterra operator

$$(Kh)(x) := \int_x^\infty k(x,y)h(y)\,dy, \quad x > 0,$$

with the kernel

$$k(x,y) := \int_x^y w(t)\,dt, \quad 0 < x \le y < \infty,$$

and consequently, can be easily solved. Indeed: By the Fubini Theorem, we see that

$$\int_x^\infty \left(\int_t^\infty h(y)\,dy\right) u(t)\,dt = \int_x^\infty k(x,y)h(y)\,dy, \qquad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty).$$

Recall that the weighted $L^1 - L^q$ boundedness of Volterra operators K, that is, inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty k(x,y)h(y)\,dy\right)^q w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/q} \le C\int_0^\infty hv, \qquad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \tag{1.5}$$

is completely characterized for $0 < q < \infty$ (see Theorems 2.5 and 2.6).

Investigation of the weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities started in [5] and [6]. In [15] a unified method was created for solution of these inequalities for all possible values of parameters. In particular, inequality (1.1) with $0 < q < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$, $1 \le p < \infty$ was characterized in integral forms in [15]. But this characterization involve auxiliary functions, which make conditions more complicated.

Our approach consists of the following steps: We prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) \approx &\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &+\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)\sup_{t<s}\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^\infty h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\end{aligned}$$

with constants independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$, where $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1 (see Lemma 4.4). Then we give the proof of fact that the following assertions are equivalent:

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)\sup_{t<s}\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^\infty h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r} \le C\int_0^\infty hv, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty), \tag{1.6}$$

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(t)\left(\int_t^\infty\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r} \le C\int_0^\infty hv, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \tag{1.7}$$

(see Lemma 4.5). Recall that the solution of inequality (1.7) is known (see Theorems 2.5 and 2.6). Then noting that the best constant in (1.4) is unchanged when weight function v is replaced with the greatest non-decreasing minorant of v (see Theorem 3.2), the characterization of the discrete inequality

$$\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \le C\left\|\left\{\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv\right\}\right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$$

is presented with non-decreasing weight function v (see Lemma 4.8).

Our main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$.*

(i) *Let $r < 1$. Then inequality (1.4) holds if and only if*

$$F_1 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u\right)^{r'} u(t)\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty}\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r'/q}\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right)^{r'}\right)dt\right)^{1/r'} < \infty,$$

$$F_2 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u(x)\left(\int_x^t w\right)^{r/q} dx\right)^{r'} u(t)\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty}\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r/q}\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right)^{r'}\right)dt\right)^{1/r'} < \infty,$$

$$F_3 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u\right)^{r'}\left(\int_t^\infty \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right)^{q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q} u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r'} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (1.4), then $C \approx F_1 + F_2 + F_3$.

(ii) *Let $r \ge 1$. Then inequality (1.4) holds if and only if*

$$G_1 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^t u(x)\left(\int_x^t w\right)^{r/q} dx\right)^{1/r}\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right) < \infty,$$

$$G_2 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^t u(x)\,dx\right)^{1/r}\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty}\left(\int_t^s w\right)^{1/q}\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right)\right) < \infty,$$

$$G_3 := \sup_{t>0}\left(\int_0^t u\right)^{1/r}\left(\int_t^\infty \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\le\tau<\infty} v(\tau)^{-1}\right)^{q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (1.4), then $C \approx G_1 + G_2 + G_3$.

It is worth to mention that the characterizations of "dual" inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x \left(\int_0^t h\right)^{q} w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q} u(x)\,ds\right)^{1/r} \le C\int_0^\infty hv, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \tag{1.8}$$

can be easily obtained from the solution of inequality (1.4), by change of variables.

We pronounce that the characterizations of inequalities (1.4) and (1.8) are important because many inequalities for classical operators can be reduced to them. These inequalities play an important role in the theory of Morrey-type spaces and other topics (see [1], [2] and [3]). Note that using characterizations of weighted Hardy inequalities it is easy to see that the characterization of the boundedness of bilinear Hardy-type inequality

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f\right)^{q}\left(\int_x^\infty g\right)^{q} w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/q} \le C\left(\int_0^\infty f^p v_1\right)^{1/p}\int_0^\infty g v_2, \tag{1.9}$$

for all $f \in L^p(v_1,(0,\infty))$ and $g \in L^1(v_2,(0,\infty))$ with constant C independent of f and g, can be reduced to inequality (1.4) when $q < p$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries along with the standard ingredients used in the proofs. In Section 3 we prove that the norm of the composition of a monotone operator $T : \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \to \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ with the Hardy operator Hf (the Copson operator $H^* f$) from $L^1_\mu(v)$ to a quasi-normed space of measurable functions X defined on $\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$

with the lattice property is unchanged when weight function v is replaced with the greatest non-increasing minorant of v (the greatest non-decreasing minorant of v) (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), where μ is a σ-finite measure on $(0,\infty)$. In Section 4 the equivalence and reduction theorems are proved which allow to obtain our main result.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we always denote by C a positive constant, which is independent of main parameters but it may vary from line to line. However a constant with subscript or superscript such as c_1 does not change in different occurrences. By $a \lesssim b$, $(b \gtrsim a)$ we mean that $a \leq \lambda b$, where $\lambda > 0$ depends on inessential parameters. If $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, we write $a \approx b$ and say that a and b are equivalent. Unless a special remark is made, the differential element dx is omitted when the integrals under consideration are the Lebesgue integrals. Everywhere in the paper, u, v and w are weights. We use the abbreviation LHS$(*)$ for the left hand side of the relation $(*)$.

We adopt the following usual conventions.

Convention 2.1. (i) We put $0\cdot\infty = 0$, $\infty/\infty = 0$ and $0/0 = 0$.

(ii) We denote by $r' = r/(1-r)$, if $0 < r < 1$.

For $p \in (0,\infty]$ and $w \in \mathfrak{M}^+(I)$ with $I := (a,b) \subseteq (0,\infty)$ we define the functional $\|\cdot\|_{p,w,I}$ on $\mathfrak{M}(I)$ by

$$\|f\|_{p,w,I} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_I |f(x)|^p w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p} & \text{if} \quad p < \infty \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_I |f(x)| w(x) & \text{if} \quad p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If, in addition, $w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$, then the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(w,I)$ is given by

$$L^p(w,I) = \{f \in \mathfrak{M}(I) : \ \|f\|_{p,w,I} < \infty\},$$

and it is equipped with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,w,I}$.

When $w \equiv 1$ on I, we write simply $L^p(I)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,I}$ instead of $L^p(w,I)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,w,I}$, respectively.

Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, $0 < q \leq +\infty$ and $\{w_k\} = \{w_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. We denote by $\ell^q(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z})$ the following discrete analogue of a weighted Lebesgue space: if $0 < q < +\infty$, then

$$\ell^q(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z}) = \left\{\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{Z}} : \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^q(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z})} := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{Z}} |a_k w_k|^q\right)^{1/q} < +\infty\right\},$$

and

$$\ell^\infty(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z}) = \left\{\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{Z}} : \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^\infty(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z})} := \sup_{k\in\mathcal{Z}} |a_k w_k| < +\infty\right\}.$$

If $w_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathcal{Z}$, we write simply $\ell^q(\mathcal{Z})$ instead of $\ell^q(\{w_k\},\mathcal{Z})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $N, M \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, $N < M$. A positive sequence $\{\tau_k\}_{k=N}^M$ is called geometrically increasing if there is $\alpha \in (1,+\infty)$ such that

$$\tau_k \geq \alpha\tau_{k-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \{N+1,\dots,M\}.$$

We quote some known results. Proofs can be found in [12] and [13].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $q \in (0,+\infty]$, $N, M \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, $N \le M$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{N, N+1, \dots, M-1, M\}$ and let $\{\tau_k\}_{k=N}^{M}$ be a geometrically increasing sequence. Then*

$$\left\| \left\{ \tau_k \sum_{m=k}^{M} a_m \right\} \right\|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{Z})} \approx \|\{\tau_k a_k\}\|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{Z})} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left\| \left\{ \tau_k \sup_{k \le m \le M} a_m \right\} \right\|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{Z})} \approx \|\{\tau_k a_k\}\|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{Z})} \tag{2.2}$$

for all non-negative sequences $\{a_k\}_{k=N}^{M}$.

Given two (quasi-) Banach spaces X and Y, we write $X \hookrightarrow Y$ if $X \subset Y$ and if the natural embedding of X in Y is continuous.

The following two lemmas are discrete version of the classical Landau resonance theorems. Proofs can be found, for example, in [9].

Proposition 2.4. ([9, Proposition 4.1]) *Let $0 < r < +\infty$, $\emptyset \ne \mathcal{Z} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $\{v_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\{w_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{Z}}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Assume that*

$$\ell^1(\{v_k\}, \mathcal{Z}) \hookrightarrow \ell^r(\{w_k\}, \mathcal{Z}). \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$\left\| \{w_k v_k^{-1}\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} \le C,$$

where $1/\rho := (1/r - 1)_+$ [1] *and C stands for the norm of embedding (2.3).*

The kernel $k : [0,\infty)^2 \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ is measurable function which has the following properties:
(i) $k(x,y)$ is non-increasing in x and non-decreasing in y;
(ii) there exists a constant $d > 0$ such that for all $0 \le x < y < z < \infty$ it holds

$$k(x,z) \le d(k(x,y) + k(y,z));$$

(iii) $k(0,y) > 0$ for all $y > 0$.

We recall the following statement, which may be recovered from [14, Theorem 1.1] by correctly interpreting the expressions involving the symbol p' in there.

Theorem 2.5. [14, Theorem 1.1] *Let $1 \le q < \infty$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. Assume that k is a kernel, satifying (i) - (iii) with some $0 < d < \infty$. Then the inequality*

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty k(x,y) h(y)\, dy \right)^q w(x)\, dx \right)^{1/q} \le C \int_0^\infty hv, \qquad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \tag{2.4}$$

[1] For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $a_+ = a$ when $a > 0$ and $a_+ = 0$ when $a \le 0$.

holds if and only if

$$O_1 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left(\int_0^t w(x) k^q(x,t)\, dx \right)^{1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty} v(s)^{-1} < \infty$$

and

$$O_2 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left(\int_0^t w(x)\, dx \right)^{1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty} k(t,s) v(s)^{-1} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant in (2.4) *satisfies* $C \approx O_1 + O_2$.

Theorem 2.6. [10, Theorem 8] *Let* $0 < q < 1$ *and* $v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. *Assume that* k *is a kernel, satifying (i) - (iii) with some* $0 < d < \infty$. *Then inequality* (2.4) *holds if and only if*

$$K_1 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t w(x)\, dx \right)^{q'} w(t) \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty} k^{q'}(t,s) v(s)^{-q'} \right) dt \right)^{1/q'} < \infty$$

and

$$K_2 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t w(x) k^q(x,t)\, dx \right)^{q'} w(t) \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\le s<\infty} k^{q}(t,s) v(s)^{-q'} \right) dt \right)^{1/q'} < \infty.$$

Moreover, the best constant in (2.4) *satisfies* $C \approx K_1 + K_2$.

3. Monotone envelopes

Let μ be a σ-finite measure on $(0,\infty)$. In order for monotone functions to be μ-measurable we assume that all Borel sets are μ-measurable. Let $\mathfrak{M}_\mu^+$ be the collection of all non-negative, μ-measurable functions on $(0,\infty)$.

The two operators of integration we will need are H and H^* defined for any $x > 0$ by

$$Hf(x) = \int_{(0,x]} f\, d\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^* f(x) = \int_{[x,\infty)} f\, d\mu.$$

Note that for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{M}_\mu^+$ we have

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} (Hu) v\, d\mu = \int_{(0,\infty)} u(H^* v)\, d\mu.$$

For $v \in \mathfrak{M}_\mu^+$ we define the monotone envelopes of v as follows: the greatest non-increasing minorant of v is

$$v_\downarrow(x) := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{0<t\le x} v(t)$$

and the greatest non-decreasing minorant of v is

$$v_\uparrow(x) := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\le t<\infty} v(t).$$

The following theorem is true.

Theorem 3.1 ([16], Theorem 2.1)**.** *Suppose $f, v \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\mu$. Then*

$$\inf_{Hg \geq Hf} \int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu = \int_{(0,\infty)} fv_\downarrow\,d\mu, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\inf_{H^*g \geq H^*f} \int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu = \int_{(0,\infty)} fv_\uparrow\,d\mu. \tag{3.2}$$

An operator $T : \mathfrak{M}^+ \to \mathfrak{M}^+$ such that $Tf(x) \leq cTg(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with constant $c > 0$ independent of f and g, is called a monotone operator.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a quasi-normed space of functions from $\mathfrak{M}^+$ with the lattice property, that is,*

$$0 \leq g \leq f \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|g\|_X \lesssim \|f\|_X.$$

Suppose $v \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\mu$, and let $T : \mathfrak{M}^+ \to \mathfrak{M}^+$ be a monotone operator. Then the least constant C, finite or infinite, for which

$$\left\| T\Big(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \Big) \right\|_X \leq C \int_{(0,\infty)} fv\,d\mu, \ f \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\mu,$$

holds is unchanged when v is replaced by $v_\downarrow$. That is,

$$\sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\Big(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \Big) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} fv\,d\mu} = \sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\Big(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \Big) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} fv_\downarrow\,d\mu}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Since $v_\downarrow \leq v$ μ-almost everywhere the inequality "$\leq$" in (3.3) is immediate.

To establish the reverse inequality we apply (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} fv_\downarrow\,d\mu} &= \sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\inf_{Hg \geq Hf} \int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu} \\ &= \sup_{f \geq 0} \sup_{Hg \geq Hf} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $Hf \leq Hg$ then the monotonicity of T shows that

$$T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \leq T\left(\int_{(0,x]} g\,d\mu \right),$$

and since X has the lattice property we have

$$\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X \leq \left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} g\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} f\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} fv_\downarrow\,d\mu} \leq \sup_{f \geq 0} \sup_{Hg \geq Hf} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} g\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu} \leq \sup_{g \geq 0} \frac{\left\| T\left(\int_{(0,x]} g\,d\mu \right) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} gv\,d\mu}.$$

This completes the proof. □

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 3.2 and we omit it.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a quasi-normed space of functions from $\mathfrak{M}^+$ with the lattice property. Suppose $v \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\mu$, and let $T : \mathfrak{M}^+ \to \mathfrak{M}^+$ be a monotone operator. Then the least constant C, finite or infinite, for which*

$$\left\| T\Big(\int_{[x,\infty)} f\, d\mu \Big) \right\|_X \le C \int_{(0,\infty)} f v\, d\mu, \ f \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\mu,$$

holds is unchanged when v is replaced by $v_\uparrow$. That is,

$$\sup_{f \ge 0} \frac{\left\| T\Big(\int_{[x,\infty)} f\, d\mu \Big) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} f v\, d\mu} = \sup_{f \ge 0} \frac{\left\| T\Big(\int_{[x,\infty)} f\, d\mu \Big) \right\|_X}{\int_{(0,\infty)} f v_\uparrow\, d\mu}. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.4. In [17] the notion of transfering monotonicity from the kernel of an operator to the weight was introduced to study a special case of the weighted Hardy inequality. This property was placed in a more general setting in [16]:

Let λ be a σ-finte measure on $(0,\infty)$ for which non-increasing functions are λ-measurable. Assume that μ is any measure on any set and X is a Banach Function Space of μ-measurable functions. It was proved in [16, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2] that the best constant, finite or infinite, for which

$$\left\| \int_{(0,\infty)} k(\cdot,t) f(t)\, d\lambda(t) \right\|_X \le C \int_{(0,\infty)} f v\, d\lambda, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^+_\lambda$$

holds, is unchanged when v is replaced by $v_\downarrow$ (by $x_\uparrow$), where $k(x,t)$ is non-negative $\mu \times \lambda$-measurable function, non-increasing in t for each x (non-decreasing in t for each x).

4. Equivalence and reduction theorems

In this section we prove the equivalence and reduction theorems.

Remark 4.1. Let u be a weight functyon on $(0,+\infty)$. It is easy to see that if $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt = +\infty$, then there exists strictly increasing sequence $\{x_k\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \subset (0,\infty)$ such that $\int_0^{x_k} u(t)\,dt = 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (x_k, x_{k+1}] = (0,\infty)$. Consequently, $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is covering sequence, i.e. partition of $(0,\infty)$ (cf. [11]). In the case when $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt < +\infty$ define a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M}$ such that $\int_0^{x_k} u(t)\,dt = 2^k$ if $-\infty < k \le M$, where M is defined by the inequality $2^M \le \int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt < 2^{M+1}$. Denote by $x_{M+1} := \infty$. Thus $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is covering sequence of $(0,\infty)$ as well. We will consider in the proofs of all statements below the case when $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt < \infty$, for it is much simpler to deal with the case when $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt = \infty$. We will denote $\mathcal{Z} := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \le M\}$, when $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt < \infty$, and note that $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$, when $\int_0^\infty u(t)\,dt = \infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) \approx{}& \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &+\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_{k+1}}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

with constants independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) &= \left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_x^{\infty}\left(\int_t^{\infty} h\right)^q w(t)\,dt\right)^{r/q} u(x)\,dx\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\approx \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{\infty}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) &\approx \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\approx \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\quad+\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_{k+1}}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) \approx{}& \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &+\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

with constants independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$.

Proof. $\lesssim$: Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_{k+1}}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\lesssim \left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\,dt\sup_{x_k<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\le \left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})},\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LHS}\,(1.4) \lesssim &\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &+\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.\end{aligned}$$

$\gtrsim$: It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\le\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\sup_{x_{k-1}<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{s} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $y_k\in(x_{k-1},x_{k+1}]$, $k\in\mathcal{Z}$, be such that

$$\sup_{x_{k-1}<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r\lesssim\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{y_k} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{y_k}^{\infty} h\right)^r.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\lesssim\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{y_k} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{y_k}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\le\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{y_k} w(s)\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\lesssim\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-2}}^{x_{k-1}} u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r}\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{\infty} w(s)\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\le\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-2}}^{x_{k-1}} u(t)\left(\int_t^{\infty} w(s)\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q ds\right)^{r/q} dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad\le\left(\int_0^{\infty} u(t)\left(\int_t^{\infty} w(s)\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^q ds\right)^{r/q} dt\right)^{1/r}=\text{LHS}\,(1.4).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}\left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\ &\qquad+\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}}\left(\int_{x_k}^{s} w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_s^{\infty} h\right)^r dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\lesssim\text{LHS}\,(1.4).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4) \approx{}& \left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h \right)^q w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &+ \left(\int_0^\infty u(t) \sup_{t<s} \left(\int_t^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with constants independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$.

Proof. $\lesssim$: Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \left\{ \left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t) \sup_{t<s\le x_{k+1}} \left(\int_{x_k}^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad \le \left\| \left\{ \left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} u(t) \sup_{t<s} \left(\int_t^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad \le \left(\int_0^\infty u(t) \sup_{t<s} \left(\int_t^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r}, \end{aligned}$$

then $\lesssim$ part of the statement follows by Lemma 4.3.

$\gtrsim$: Since, by Lemma 4.3,

$$\left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h \right)^q w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \lesssim \mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4),$$

it is enough to show that

$$\left(\int_0^\infty u(t) \sup_{t<s} \left(\int_t^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4).$$

On using Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_0^\infty u(t) \sup_{t<s} \left(\int_t^s w \right)^{r/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right)^r dt \right)^{1/r} \\ &\qquad \approx \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n<s} \left(\int_{x_n}^s w \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad = \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{n\le i\le M} \sup_{x_i<s\le x_{i+1}} \left(\int_{x_n}^s w \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad \approx \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{n\le i\le M} \sup_{x_i<s\le x_{i+1}} \left(\int_{x_i}^s w \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad\quad + \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{n+1\le i\le M} \left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i} w \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{x_i}^\infty h \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\ &\qquad \approx \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n<s\le x_{n+1}} \left(\int_{x_n}^s w \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_s^\infty h \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sup_{n+1\le i\le M}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{\infty}h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}:=B_1+B_2.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}B_1&\approx\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r}\sup_{x_n<s\le x_{n+1}}\left(\int_{x_n}^{s}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&\le\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{n+1}}\left(\int_{t}^{s}w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}B_2&\le\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sup_{n+1\le i\le M}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i}w(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{q}ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&\le\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\left(\int_{x_n}^{\infty}w(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{q}ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&\approx\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r}\left(\int_{x_n}^{\infty}w(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{q}ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&\le\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}u(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}w(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{q}ds\right)^{r/q}dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&\le\left(\int_0^{\infty}u(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}w(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{q}ds\right)^{r/q}dt\right)^{1/r}=\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, on using lemma 4.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_0^{\infty}u(t)\sup_{t<s}\left(\int_{t}^{s}w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}\\&\qquad\lesssim\left\|\left\{\left(\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}u(t)\sup_{t<s\le x_{n+1}}\left(\int_{t}^{s}w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}+\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4)\lesssim\mathrm{LHS}\,(1.4).\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

The proof of the following statement is similar to the proof of [7, Theorem 4.1, (4.2) ⇔ (4.3)]. For the convenience of the reader we give here the complete proof.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $0<q,r<\infty$ and $u,v,w\in\mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

$$\left(\int_0^{\infty}u(t)\sup_{t<s}\left(\int_{t}^{s}w\right)^{r/q}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}h\right)^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^{\infty}hv,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^{+}(0,\infty),\tag{4.4}$$

$$\left(\int_0^{\infty}u(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\left(\int_{t}^{s}w\right)^{1/q}h(s)\,ds\right)^{r}dt\right)^{1/r}\le C\int_0^{\infty}hv,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^{+}(0,\infty).\tag{4.5}$$

Proof. At first note that

$$\int_t^{\infty}\left(\int_t^{s}w\right)^{1/q}h(s)\,ds=\sup_{t<\tau}\int_{\tau}^{\infty}\left(\int_t^{s}w\right)^{1/q}h(s)\,ds\ge\sup_{t<\tau}\left(\int_t^{\tau}w\right)^{1/q}\int_{\tau}^{\infty}h(s)\,ds.$$

Thus LHS (4.4) $\le$ LHS (4.5), and, consequently, (4.5) $\Rightarrow$ (4.4).

Assume that (4.4) holds. Let $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ be a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. We know that

$$\text{LHS}\,(4.4) \approx B_1 + B_2.$$

On using Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS}\,(4.5) &\approx \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\int_{x_n}^{\infty}\left(\int_{x_n}^{s} w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&= \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n}^{M}\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\int_{x_n}^{s} w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\approx \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n}^{M}\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\int_{x_i}^{s} w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\quad + \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i} w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\approx \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}}\left(\int_{x_n}^{s} w\right)^{1/q} h(s)\,ds\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\quad + \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} := A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $q \ge 1$. Using Jensen's inequality, by Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{i}\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\le \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\sum_{j=n+1}^{i}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&= \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\sum_{i=j}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&= \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\approx \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_{n+1}}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \\
&\le \left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\sup_{n+1\le i\le M}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i} w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{\infty} h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} = B_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $q<1$. Then, by Minkowski's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_i}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}h\right)&=\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{i}\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}h\right)\\&=\left\{\left[\sum_{i=n+1}^{M}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{i}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}h\right)^q\right)^{1/q}\right]^q\right\}^{1/q}\\&\le\left\{\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left[\sum_{i=j}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}h\right)\right]^q\right\}^{1/q}\\&=\left\{\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)\left[\sum_{i=j}^{M}\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}}h\right)\right]^q\right\}^{1/q}\\&=\left\{\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)\left(\int_{x_j}^{\infty}h\right)^q\right\}^{1/q}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}A_2&\le\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\left\{\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)\left(\int_{x_j}^{\infty}h\right)^q\right\}^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\\&=\left\|\left\{2^{nq/r}\sum_{j=n+1}^{M}\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j}w\right)\left(\int_{x_j}^{\infty}h\right)^q\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{r/q}(\mathcal{Z})}^{1/q}\\&\approx\left\|\left\{2^{nq/r}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}}w\right)\left(\int_{x_{n+1}}^{\infty}h\right)^q\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{r/q}(\mathcal{Z})}^{1/q}\\&=\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}}w\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{x_{n+1}}^{\infty}h\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\le B_2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus in both cases

$$A_2\lesssim B_2\le C\int_0^{\infty}hv,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty).$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$A_1\le\left\|\left\{2^{n/r}\left(\sup_{x_n<s\le x_{n+1}}\left(\int_{x_n}^{s}w\right)^{1/q}v(s)^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}}hv\right)\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.$$

Using inequality

$$\|\{a_kb_k\}\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}\le\|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^p(\mathcal{Z})}\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})},\tag{4.6}$$

where $1/\rho = (1/r-1)_+$, we get that

$$A_1 \le \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \Big(\sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1} \Big) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} \int_0^\infty hv =: D \int_0^\infty hv.$$

Note that

$$\left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{s}^{x_{n+1}} h \Big) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \le B_1 \lesssim C \int_0^\infty hv$$
$$= C \left\| \left\{ \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv \right\} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty).$$

Since

$$\sup \left\{ \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{s}^{x_{n+1}} h \Big) : \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv \le 1 \right\} = \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1}$$

for any $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, there exist h_n such that $\operatorname{supp} h_n \subset [x_n, x_{n+1}]$, $\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} h_n v = 1$ and

$$\sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{s}^{x_{n+1}} h_n \Big) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1}.$$

Consequently, by Proposition 2.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} C &\gtrsim \sup_{h \ge 0} \frac{\left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{s}^{x_{n+1}} h \Big) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}}{\left\| \left\{ \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv \right\} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}} \\ &\ge \sup_{h = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{M} a_n h_n} \frac{\left\| \left\{ a_n 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{s}^{x_{n+1}} h_n \Big) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}}{\left\| \left\{ a_n \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} h_n v \right\} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}} \\ &\ge \sup_{h = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{M} a_n h_n} \frac{\left\| \left\{ a_n 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}}{\|\{a_n\}\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}} \\ &= \sup_{\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} : a_n \ge 0} \frac{\left\| \left\{ a_n 2^{n/r} \sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}}{\|\{a_n\}\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}} \\ &\ge \left\| \left\{ 2^{n/r} \Big(\sup_{x_n < s \le x_{n+1}} \Big(\int_{x_n}^{s} w \Big)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1} \Big) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} = D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A_1 \lesssim D \int_0^\infty hv \lesssim C \int_0^\infty hv.$$

Combining, we get that

$$\text{LHS}\,(4.5) \approx A_1 + A_2 \lesssim C \int_0^\infty hv.$$

We have proved that (4.4) $\Rightarrow$ (4.5).
The proof is completed. □

Combining Theorem 4.5 with Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we get the following statement.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $0 < r < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$, and $u, v, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$.*
(a) *Let $r \geq 1$. Then inequality (4.4) holds if and only if*

$$D_1 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left(\int_0^t u(x)\left(\int_x^t w\right)^{r/q} dx\right)^{1/r} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\leq s<\infty} v(s)^{-1} < \infty,$$

$$D_2 := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left(\int_0^t u(x)\,dx\right)^{1/r} \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\leq s<\infty} \left(\int_t^s w\right)^{1/q} v(s)^{-1}\right) < \infty.$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (4.4), then

$$C \approx D_1 + D_2.$$

(b) *Let $r < 1$. Then inequality (4.4) holds if and only if*

$$E_1 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u\right)^{r'} u(t)\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\leq s<\infty} \left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r'/q} v(s)^{-r'}\right) dt\right)^{1/r'} < \infty,$$

$$E_2 := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u(x)\left(\int_x^t w\right)^{r/q} dx\right)^{r'} u(t)\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\leq s<\infty} \left(\int_t^s w\right)^{r/q} v(s)^{-r'}\right) dt\right)^{1/r'} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (4.4), then

$$C \approx E_1 + E_2.$$

Now we give characterization of the following discrete inequality.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty) \cap \mathfrak{M}^{\uparrow}(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. Then the inequality*

$$\left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h\right)^q w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \leq C\left\|\left\{\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv\right\}\right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty) \tag{4.7}$$

holds with constant independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ if and only if $A < \infty$, where

$$A = \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^p(\mathcal{Z})}.$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (4.7), then $C \approx A$.

Proof. **Necessity.** Assume that $A < \infty$. By monotonicity of v, we have that

$$\text{LHS}\,(4.7) \leq \left\|\left\{2^{k/r}\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} hv\right)^q v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}$$

$$\leq \left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} hv \right) \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})}.$$

By (4.6), we get that

$$\text{LHS}\,(4.7) \leq \left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} \left\| \left\{ \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv \right\} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})}.$$

Thus

$$C \leq \left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} = A.$$

Sufficiency. Assume that (4.7) holds. Since

$$\sup_{h\geq 0} \frac{\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h \right)^q w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q}}{\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} hv} = \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q},$$

then for any $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ there exists $h_k \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} h_k \in (x_k, x_{k+1})$, $\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} h_k v = 1$ and

$$\left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_s^{x_{k+1}} h_k \right)^q w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q}.$$

Define

$$h = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{Z}} a_m h_m, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\{a_m\}_{m\in\mathcal{Z}}$ is any sequence of positive numbers. Then the inequality

$$\left\| \left\{ a_k 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathcal{Z})} \leq C \|\{a_k\}\|_{\ell^1(\mathcal{Z})} \tag{4.9}$$

holds.

By Proposition 2.4, we obtain that

$$A = \left\| \left\{ 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^\rho(\mathcal{Z})} \lesssim C.$$

The proof is completed. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $0 < q, r < \infty$ and $u, w \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}(0,\infty) \cap \mathfrak{M}^{\uparrow}(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\{x_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{M+1}$ is a covering sequence mentioned in Remark 4.1. Then inequality (4.7) holds with constant independent of $h \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)$ if and only if $B < \infty$, where*

$$B = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds \right)^{r'} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{r'/q} u(t)\,dt \right)^{1/r'} & \text{if} \quad r < 1, \\ \sup_{t>0} \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds \right)^{1/q} & \text{if} \quad r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if C is the best constant in (4.7), then $C \approx B$.

Proof. **Necessity.** Let inequality (4.7) holds. Then, by Lemma 4.7, $A < \infty$ and the best constant in (4.7) satisfies $C \approx A$.

(a) Let $r < 1$. Then, on using Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q} u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r'} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q} u(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r'} \\
&\le \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'} u(t)\,dt \left(\int_{x_k}^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q}\right)^{1/r'} \\
&\approx \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} \left(\left(\int_0^{x_{k+1}} u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/r} - \left(\int_0^{x_k} u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/r}\right)\left(\int_{x_k}^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q}\right)^{1/r'} \\
&\approx \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{kr'/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q}\right)^{1/r'} \\
&\approx \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{kr'/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q}\right)^{1/r'} = A.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $r \ge 1$. Then, on using Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \sup_{t>0}\left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} \\
&= \sup_{-\infty<k\le M} \sup_{x_k<t\le x_{k+1}} \left(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} \\
&\le \sup_{-\infty<k\le M} \left(\int_0^{x_{k+1}} u(s)\,ds\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} \\
&\approx \sup_{-\infty<k\le M} 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^\infty v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} \\
&\approx \sup_{-\infty<k\le M} 2^{k/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{1/q} = A.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, in both cases, by Lemma 4.7, we have that $B \lesssim A \approx C < \infty$.

Sufficiency. Assume that $B < \infty$.

(a) Let $r < 1$. Since $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} \left(\int_{x_{k-1}}^t u(s)\,ds\right)^{r'} u(t)\,dt \approx 2^{kr'/r}$, $-\infty < k \le M$, we have that

$$A = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M} 2^{kr'/r} \left(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} v(s)^{-q} w(s)\,ds\right)^{r'/q}\right)^{1/r'}$$

$$\approx\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M}\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k}\Big(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{t}u(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'}u(t)\,dt\Big(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'/q}\Big)^{1/r'}$$

$$\le\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M}\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k}\Big(\int_{x_{k-1}}^{t}u(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'}\Big(\int_{t}^{x_{k+1}}v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'/q}u(t)\,dt\Big)^{1/r'}$$

$$\le\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{M}\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k}\Big(\int_{0}^{t}u(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'}\Big(\int_{t}^{\infty}v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'/q}u(t)\,dt\Big)^{1/r'}$$

$$\le\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'}\Big(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{r'/q}u(t)\,dt\Big)^{1/r'}=B.$$

(b) Let $r\ge 1$. In this case, it is easy to see that

$$A=\sup_{-\infty<k\le M}2^{k/r}\Big(\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}}v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{1/q}$$

$$\le\sup_{-\infty<k\le M}\Big(\int_0^{x_k}u(s)\,ds\Big)^{1/r}\Big(\int_{x_k}^{\infty}v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{1/q}$$

$$\le\sup_{t>0}\Big(\int_0^t u(s)\,ds\Big)^{1/r}\Big(\int_t^\infty v(s)^{-q}w(s)\,ds\Big)^{1/q}=B.$$

By Lemma 4.7, in both cases we obtain that $C\approx A\lesssim B<\infty$. □

We are now in position to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 3.3 (applied with $d\mu=dx$ on $(0,\infty)$, $X=L^r(u,(0,\infty))$ and $Tg(x)=\|g\|_{q,w,(x,\infty)}$, $x>0$), inequality (1.4) holds if and only if the inequality

$$\Big(\int_0^\infty\Big(\int_x^\infty\Big(\int_t^\infty h\Big)^q w(t)\,dt\Big)^{r/q}u(x)\,ds\Big)^{1/r}\le c\int_0^\infty hv_\uparrow,\quad h\in\mathfrak{M}^+(0,\infty)\tag{4.10}$$

holds. Moreover, the best constants in both inequalities are the same. Now the statement follows by Lemma 4.4, combined with Lemma 4.8 and Corollary 4.6.

□

References

[1] V. I. Burenkov, A. Gogatishvili, V. S. Guliyev, and R. Ch. Mustafayev, *Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **55** (2010), no. 8-10, 739–758. MR2674862 (2011f:42015)

[2] V.I. Burenkov, A. Gogatishvili, V.S. Guliyev, and R.Ch. Mustafayev, *Boundedness of the Riesz potential in local Morrey-type spaces*, Potential Anal. **35** (2011), no. 1, 67–87. MR2804553 (2012d:42027)

[3] V.I. Burenkov and R. Oinarov, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Hardy-type operator from a weighted Lebesgue space to a Morrey-type space*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **16** (2013), no. 1, 1–19. MR3060376

[4] A. Gogatishvili and R. Ch. Mustafayev, *Weighted iterated Hardy-type inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl. (accepted) (2016).

[5] A. Gogatishvili, R. Ch. Mustafayev, and L.-E. Persson, *Some new iterated Hardy-type inequalities*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. (2012), Art. ID 734194, 30. MR3000818

[6] ———, *Some new iterated Hardy-type inequalities: the case* $\theta = 1$, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2013, 29 pp., DOI 10.1186/1029-242X-2013-515, (to appear in print).
[7] A. Gogatishvili and V.D. Stepanov, *Reduction theorems for operators on the cones of monotone functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **405** (2013), no. 1, 156–172, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.03.046. MR3053495
[8] A. Gogatishvili and V. D. Stepanov, *Reduction theorems for weighted integral inequalities on the cone of monotone functions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **68** (2013), no. 4(412), 3–68 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **68** (2013), no. 4, 597–664. MR3154814
[9] A. Gogatishvili and L. Pick, *Discretization and anti-discretization of rearrangement-invariant norms*, Publ. Mat. **47** (2003), no. 2, 311–358. MR2006487 (2005f:46053)
[10] M. Křepela, *Boundedness of Hardy-type operators with a kernel integral weighted conditions for the case* $0 < q < 1 \leq p < \infty$, Preprint (2016).
[11] Q. Lai, *Weighted modular inequalities for Hardy type operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **79** (1999), no. 3, 649–672, DOI 10.1112/S0024611599012010. MR1710168
[12] L. Leindler, *Inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood type*, Anal. Math. **2** (1976), no. 2, 117–123 (English, with Russian summary). MR0427566 (55 #597)
[13] L. Leindler, *On the converses of inequalities of Hardy and Littlewood*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **58** (1993), no. 1-4, 191–196. MR1264231 (95j:40001)
[14] R. Oĭnarov, *Two-sided estimates for the norm of some classes of integral operators*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **204** (1993), no. Issled. po Teor. Differ. Funktsii Mnogikh Peremen. i ee Prilozh. 16, 240–250 (Russian); English transl., Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **3 (204)** (1994), 205–214. MR1320028
[15] D. V. Prokhorov and V. D. Stepanov, *On weighted Hardy inequalities in mixed norms*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **283** (2013), 149¢-164.
[16] G. Sinnamon, *Transferring monotonicity in weighted norm inequalities*, Collect. Math. **54** (2003), no. 2, 181–216. MR1995140 (2004m:26031)
[17] G. Sinnamon and V.D. Stepanov, *The weighted Hardy inequality: new proofs and the case* $p = 1$, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 1, 89–101, DOI 10.1112/jlms/54.1.89. MR1395069 (97e:26021)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, 71450 Yahsihan, Kirikkale, Turkey

E-mail address: rzamustafayev@gmail.com